

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD DENNIS, SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL SERVICES FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT ASIAN EVENT DRIVEN FUND, L.P., FRONTPOINT FINANCIAL HORIZONS FUND, L.P., AND ORANGE COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Docket No. 16-cv-06496 (LAK)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. AUSTRALIA BRANCH, BNP PARIBAS, S.A., BNP PARIBAS, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS N.V., RBS GROUP (AUSTRALIA) PTY LIMITED, UBS AG, UBS AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND BANKING GROUP LTD., COMMONWEALTH BANK OF AUSTRALIA, NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED, WESTPAC BANKING CORPORATION, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK AUSTRALIA LIMITED, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, LLOYDS TSB BANK PLC, AUSTRALIA, MACQUARIE GROUP LTD., MACQUARIE BANK LTD., ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, RBC CAPITAL MARKETS LLC, ROYAL BANK OF CANADA, AUSTRALIA BRANCH, MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY AUSTRALIA LIMITED, CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG, CREDIT SUISSE AG, ICAP PLC, ICAP AUSTRALIA PTY LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, TULLETT PREBON (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD., AND JOHN DOES NOS. 1-50.

Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] SUPERSEDING
ORDER GRANTING
CONDITIONAL CLASS
CERTIFICATION FOR
PURPOSES OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT WITH
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. AND
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**

The parties to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement as to Defendants JPMorgan Chase & Co. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMorgan”) dated November 20, 2018 (“Settlement Agreement”) entered into by Representative Plaintiffs¹ and JPMorgan in the above-entitled action (“Action”), having previously applied for an order conditionally certifying a Settlement Class; the Court having previously issued an order on November 28, 2018 conditionally certifying a Settlement Class [ECF No. 229]; Representative Plaintiffs and JPMorgan (together, the “Parties”) having executed an Amendment to the Settlement Agreement (the “Amendment”) and having previously applied for a superseding order conditionally certifying a Settlement Class based on the Amendment; the Court having previously issued a superseding order on March 30, 2021 conditionally certifying a Settlement Class [ECF 460] (the “March 2021 Order”); the Parties having executed a Second Amendment to the Settlement Agreement, dated January 13, 2022 (the “Second Amendment”); Plaintiffs having filed a Motion for an Order Amending the March 2021 Order and for an Order Issuing Class Notice of Proposed Settlement; the Court having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and attached exhibits, the Amendment and attached exhibits, and the Second Amendment and attached exhibits, which set forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement of and for dismissal of the Action with prejudice as against JPMorgan upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; and the Court having reviewed and considered the Motion for an Order Amending the March 2021 Order, finds that the Motion is due to be granted.

All defined terms used in this Order shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Amendment, or Second Amendment, except as otherwise defined herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

¹ Representative Plaintiffs are Richard Dennis, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., FrontPoint Financial Services Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Financial Horizons Fund, L.P., Fund Liquidation Holdings, LLC, and any subsequently named plaintiff(s), including Orange County Employees Retirement System (“OCERS”).

1. This Order supersedes the Court’s March 2021 Order [ECF 460].

2. For purposes of settlement only, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3), the Court hereby certifies a Settlement Class consisting of all Persons (including both natural persons and entities) who purchased, acquired, sold, held, traded, or otherwise had any interest in, BBSW-Based Derivatives² during the period January 1, 2003 through August 16, 2016, inclusive, provided that, if Representative Plaintiffs expand the putative or certified class in this Action in or through any subsequent amended complaint, class motion, or Other Settlement, the defined Settlement Class in this Order and the Settlement Agreement shall be expanded so as to be coterminous with such expansion. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government. Notwithstanding the sentence above that “[e]xcluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government,” and solely for purposes of this Settlement and this Settlement Class, Investment Vehicles³ are not to be excluded from the Settlement Class solely on the basis of being

² “BBSW-Based Derivatives” means any financial derivative instrument that is based or priced in whole or in part in any way on BBSW or in any way includes BBSW as a component of price (whether priced, benchmarked and/or settled by BBSW), entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a person from or through a location within the U.S., including, but not limited to: (i) Australian dollar foreign exchange (“FX”) derivatives, including Australian dollar FX forwards (also known as “outright forwards” or “outrights”), Australian dollar FX swaps (also known as “currency swaps”), Australian dollar currency options, Australian dollar futures contracts (such as the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“CME”) Australian dollar futures contract) and options on such futures contracts; (ii) BBSW-based interest rate derivatives, including interest rate swaps, swaptions, forward rate agreements (“FRAs”), exchange-traded deliverable swap futures and options on those futures, 90-day bank accepted bill (“BAB”) futures and options on those futures, and other over-the-counter (“OTC”) contracts or publicly traded vehicles that reference BBSW; (iii) Australian dollar cross-currency swaps; and (iv) any other financial derivative instrument or transaction based in whole or in part on BBSW, or that in any way incorporates BBSW as a component of price, or is alleged by Representative Plaintiffs in this Action to be based in whole or in part on BBSW, or to in any way incorporate BBSW as a component of price. For the avoidance of doubt, BBSW-Based Derivatives do not include: (i) any BBSW-Based Deposits or Loans; or (ii) any Prime Bank Bills or Prime Bank eligible securities.

³ “Investment Vehicles” means any investment company, separately managed account or pooled investment fund, including, but not limited to: (i) mutual fund families, exchange-traded funds, fund of funds and hedge funds; and (ii) employee benefit plans.

deemed to be Defendants or affiliates, subsidiaries, parents or agents of Defendants or controlled by Defendants or affiliates, subsidiaries, parents or agents of Defendants. However, to the extent that any Defendant or any entity that might be deemed to be an affiliate, subsidiary, parent or agent thereof (i) managed or advised, and (ii) directly or indirectly held a beneficial interest in, said Investment Vehicle during the Settlement Class Period, that beneficial interest in the Investment Vehicle is excluded from the Settlement Class.

3. Solely for the purposes of effectuating the Settlement, the Court conditionally finds and concludes that the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) have been satisfied as follows:

- (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable;
- (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions;
- (c) the claims of the Representative Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class;
- (d) Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of all of the members of the Settlement Class; and
- (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

4. The Court appoints Lowey Dannenberg, P.C. and Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson LLP as Class Counsel to such Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are fully satisfied by this appointment.

5. The Court appoints Citibank, N.A. as Escrow Agent for purposes of the Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement. The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund as qualified settlement funds pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

6. The Court appoints Richard Dennis, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., FrontPoint Financial Services Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P., FrontPoint Financial Horizons Fund, L.P., and Fund Liquidation Holdings, LLC, and any subsequently named plaintiff(s), including Orange County Employees Retirement System (“OCERS”), will serve as representatives of such Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement.

7. All proceedings in the Action as to JPMorgan, other than proceedings as may be necessary to implement the proposed Settlement or to effectuate the terms of the Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of this Court.

8. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or Settlement, whether or not the Settlement shall become Final, is or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission, adjudication, or evidence of: (a) any violation of any statute or law or of the validity of any claims, alleged wrongdoing, or liability of JPMorgan or any Released Party; (b) the truth of any of the claims or allegations alleged in the Action; (c) the incurrence of any damage, loss, or injury by any Person; (d) the existence or amount of any artificiality of any interest benchmark or other interest rate; (e) any fault or omission of JPMorgan in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal; or (f) the propriety of certification of a class other than solely for purposes of the Settlement. Further, neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement (including its exhibits), nor the Settlement contained therein,

whether or not the Settlement shall become Final, nor any negotiations, documents and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, may be discoverable or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding of any nature, whether by the Settlement Class or any Person, except if warranted by existing law in connection with a dispute under the Settlement Agreement or an action in which the Settlement Agreement is asserted as a defense (in which case this paragraph does not apply). All rights of JPMorgan and Representative Plaintiffs are reserved and retained if the Settlement does not become Final in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

9. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the Settlement is or may be used as an admission or evidence that the claims of Representative Plaintiffs lacked merit in any proceeding against anyone in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal.

10. In the event that the Settlement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, such terminated Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith, including but not limited to all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, and any requests for exclusion from the Settlement previously submitted and deemed to be valid and timely, shall, without the need for further action by the Court or either of the Parties, be null and void and be of no force and effect, except as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and shall be without prejudice to the *status quo ante* rights of the Parties and may not be introduced as evidence or referred to in any actions or proceedings by any person or entity. Each Party shall be restored to his, her or its respective position as it existed as of June 5, 2018. In such circumstances, each of the Parties shall retain its currently existing rights to seek or to object to the certification of this litigation as a class

action under FED. R. CIV. P. 23, or any state or federal rule, statute, law, or provision, and to contest and appeal any grant or denial of certification in this litigation or in any other litigation on any other grounds.

11. Except as otherwise provided herein, in the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated, vacated, not approved, or the Effective Date fails to occur for any reason, then the Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status in the Action as of June 5, 2018 and the Settlement Amount, and all interest earned in the Settlement Fund on that Settlement Amount, shall be refunded, reimbursed, and repaid to JPMorgan to the extent provided in the Settlement Agreement.

12. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be *in custodial legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, returned to the Person(s) paying the same pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and/or further order(s) of the Court.

13. If the Settlement is terminated pursuant to Section 21 of the Settlement Agreement or if the Settlement is ultimately not approved or does not become final for any reason, the Court will modify any existing scheduling order to ensure that the Parties will have sufficient time to prepare for the resumption of litigation.

14. Counsel for JPMorgan shall, at or before the hearing set to consider the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the Settlement and final approval of the Settlement, file with the Court proof of compliance with the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715 (“CAFA”).

15. The Court’s conditional certification of the Settlement Class and appointment of

Representative Plaintiffs as class representatives, as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by Representative Plaintiffs to certify a class. The Court's findings in this Conditional Certification Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in this litigation, or appoint class representatives, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any motion to certify such class or appoint class representatives.

16. If any deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, such deadline shall be extended until the next business day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

ENTERED this ____ day of _____, _____.

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge